

## IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT

The 6th January, 1982

No. 21/4/81-B-IW(4).—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section(1) of section 3 of Haryana Canal and Drainage Act, 1974 (Act No. 29 of 1974), and all other powers enabling him in this behalf, he Governor of Haryana hereby declares the following officers mentioned in column 2 of the Schedule given below by whom the matters in respect of which and the local limits of their respective jurisdiction within which all the powers conferred and the duties imposed under the said Act on the officers specified in column 3 thereof shall be exercised and performed.

## SCHEDULE

Serial No.	Designation	Officer under the Act
1	2	3
1.	Executive Engineer, Mewat Irrigation Development Division, Faridabad.	Divisional Canal Officer
2.	Sub-Divisional Officer, Mewat Irrigation Development Sub-Division No. I of Mewat Irrigation Development Division, Faridabad.	Sub-Divisional Canal Officer
3.	Sub Divisional Officer, Mewat Irrigation Development Sub-Division No. II, of Mewat Irrigation Development Division, Faridabad.	Sub-Divisional Canal Officer
4.	Deputy Collector, Mewat Irrigation Development Division, Faridabad.	Deputy Collector

P. P. CAPRIHAN,

Secretary to Government, Haryana,  
Irrigation Department.

## AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

## (MARKET COMMITTEES)

The 5th January, 1982

No. 7815-Agr. II 5)-81/149.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 6-A of the Punjab Agricultural Produce Markets Act, 1961, the Governor of Haryana hereby declares his intention to split up the notified market area of Taraori so as to constitute the Market Committees, Taraori and Nilokheri consisting of areas of villages as specified in the Schedule given below.

This declaration is published for the information of all the persons likely to be affected thereby and will be taken into consideration by the Government on or after the expiry of a period of thirty days from the date of publication of this notification in the Official Gazette together with objections or suggestions, if any, which may be received by the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Agriculture Department, Chandigarh, in respect of this declaration within the period so specified:—

Serial No.	Area of Committee	Name of villages	H. B. No.	Tehsil	Dist.ict
TARAORI					
1		Sadiq pur	50	Karnal	Karna
2		Bhaini Khurd	81	"	"
3		Munde Khera	80	"	"

1	2	3	4	5	6
4	TARAOVI— <i>concl'd</i>	Bir Nariana	59	Karnal	Karnal
5		Kheri Mansingh	63	"	"
6		Koer	26	"	"
7		Mohri Jagir	25	"	"
8		Sita Maid	27	"	"
9		Bohala Khalsa	16	"	"
10		Padhana	71	"	"
11		Nariana	60	"	"
12		Ganger	72	"	"
13		Pakhana	48	"	"
14		Parwala	54	"	"
15		Ramana-Ramani	53	"	"
16		Ramba	62	"	"
17		Sagga	58	"	"
18		Gohal Pura	17	"	"
19		Saunkka	51	"	"
20		Sham Garh	77	"	"
21		Shekhen Pura	75	"	"
22		Lalyani	74	"	"
23		Chopri	76	"	"
24		Sultan Pur	78	"	"
25		Bhaini Kalan	79	"	"
26		Taraori	47	"	"
27		Dodwa	73	"	"
28		Belib Kheri	49	"	"
29		Tikhana Khalsaa	46	"	"
30		Kurk	61	"	"
31		Sambhli	24	"	"
32		Motia	57	"	"
33		Dhandheri	56	"	"
34		Amun Pur	31	"	"
35	NILOKHERI				
1		Abhla Jagri	24	"	"
2		Abhli Khalsaa	25	"	"

1	2	3	4	5	6
3	NILOKHERI—concl'd	njanthali	40	Karnal	Karnal
4		Barthal	35	"	"
5		Badhera Khalsa	8	"	"
6		Barani	34	"	"
7		Bairsal	27	"	"
8		Barana Khalsa	398	"	"
9		Manchuri	31	"	"
10		Barsalu Mushtaka	19	"	"
11		Sherpura Viran	25	"	"
12		Bukhapuri	20	"	"
13		Borsham	70	"	"
14		Bir Badalawa	15	"	"
15		Brahaman Khalasa	21	"	"
16		Butana	34	"	"
17		Arjeheri	35	"	"
18		Manak Majra Khalasa	33	"	"
19		Dabberthala	38	"	"
20		Sohlani	57	"	"
21		Kamalpur Viran	37	"	"
22		Goregarh	65	"	"
23		Shekhupura Sikri	122	"	"
24		Hathira	9	"	"
25		Jamba	22	"	"
26		Sital Pur	23	"	"
27		Hhinwer Heri	28	"	"
28		Karsa Khalsa	13	"	"
29		Khawaja Ahmadpur	36	"	"
30		Nigdhu	14	"	"
31		Patlan Puri	10	"	"
32		Pastana	11	"	"
33		Pujam	43	"	"
34		Rai Pur Roran	44	"	"
35		Raj Garh Viran	67	"	"
36		Sherpur Khalsa	68	"	"

1	2	3	4	5
37	Amergarh Bira	66	Karnal	Karnal
38	Sidhu Pur	41	"	"
39	Samana Bahu	30	"	"
40	Samani	29	"	"
41	Sandhri	70	"	"
42	Sambhi	34	"	"
43	Sanwat	20	"	"
44	Sikri	39	"	"
45	Yunispur	36	"	"
46	Kalsi Khera	79	"	"
47	Baqipur	26	"	"
48	Lethoran	38	"	"
49	Nilokheri	45	"	"
50	Haber Pur Khalsa	18	"	"
51	Shah Pur	63	"	"
52	Dheru Majra	42	"	"

L. D. KATARIA,

Secretary to Government, Haryana),  
Agriculture Department,

#### LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 26th November, 1981

No. 9(1981-Lib/13)34—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Snowtemp Engineering Company Ltd, 14th Mile Stone, Mathura Road, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI M. C. BIHARDWAJ PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD.

Reference No. 252 of 1981

*between*

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S SNOWTEMP ENGINEERING  
COMPANY LTD. 14TH MILE STONE, MATHURA ROAD, FARIDABAD

**Present.—**

None for the workmen.

None for the management.

**AWARD**

By order No. ID/FD/6/81/36854, dated 11th August, 1981, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Snowtemp Engineering Company Ltd., 14th Mile Stone, Mathura Road, Faridabad, and its workmen to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

Whether the workmen are entitled to the grant of bonus @ 20% ? If so, with what details ?

On the receipt of the order of reference notices were issued to the parties. On the first date of hearing service was not effected on the parties. Then again notices were issued to the parties. The parties did not appear despite service and the case was ordered to be dismissed for non-prosecution by the parties. I, therefore dismiss the case for non-prosecution by the parties.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Dated the 15th October, 1981.

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Endorsement. No. 971, dated 22nd October, 1981

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 9(1)81-6Lab 13035.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947) the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s P. K. Engineering and Foundry works Dharam Kanta Road Mujesar, Faridabad.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI HARI SINGH KAUSHIK, PRESIDING OFFICER,  
LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, FARIDABAD.

Reference No. 519 of 1980.

*between*

SHRI RAM CHANDER, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S P. K.  
ENGINEERING AND FOUNDRY WORKS DHARAMKANTA ROAD, MUJESAR,  
FARIDABAD

Shri Roshan Lal Sharma, for the workman.

Shri R. S. Arora, for the management.

**AWARD**

This reference No. 519 of 1980 has been referred to this Court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana,—vide his order No. ID/FD/133-80/55325 dated 29th October, 1980, under Section 10(f) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri Ram Chander workman and the management of M/s P. K. Engineering and Foundry works, Dharamkanta Road, Mujesar, Faridabad. In term of the reference was :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Chander was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

On receipt of the reference, notices were sent to the parties. The parties appeared and filed their pleadings. On the pleadings of the parties, issues were framed on 11th February, 1981

and the case was fixed for 9th March, 1981. On 9th March, 1981 none was present on behalf of the management and *ex parte* proceeding was held against the management. Then the case was fixed for the *ex parte* evidence of the workman. So many dates i. e. ten Adjournments were given to the representative of the workman for producing the *ex parte* evidence of workman. But he failed to do so and I closed his evidence on 16th September 1981.

As neither the workman himself nor his representative were interested in pursuing the dispute, hence the case is dismissed in default of the parties. Therefore, I give my award that there is no dispute between the parties on the issues so referred to this court for adjudication. I thus answer the reference while returning the award in these terms. No order as to costs.

Dated the 1st October, 1981.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 2946, dated 6th October, 1981

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour & Employment Department, Chandigarh, as required under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, with the request that the receipt of the above said award may please be acknowledged within week's time.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 9(1)-81-6Lab./13333.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad/Ritik in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Mukand Industries, Fastners, M. L. E. Bahadurgarh.

BEFORE SHRI BANWARI LAL DALL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
HARYANA, FOIT/K

Reference No. 114 of 1979

between

SHRI RADHEY SHAM, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S MUKAND  
INDUSTRIES, FASTNERS, M. L. E. BAHADURGARH

Present :—

Shri Tara Chand, for the workman.

Shri M. M. Kaushal, for the management.

#### AWARD

This reference has been referred to this court by the Hon'ble Governor.—*vide* his order No. ID/RTK/58-79/2241, dated 22nd May, 1979 under section 10 (i) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri Radhey Sham, workman and the management of M/s Mukand Industries, Fastners, Bahadurgarh. The term of the reference was.—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Radhey Sham was justified and in order ?  
If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

On the receipt of the order of reference notices as usual were sent to the parties. The parties appeared, filed their respective pleadings issues were framed and the workman was asked to adduce his evidence. After obtain six/seven adjournments the workman examined his one witness on 12th November, 1980 and the case was then adjourned for remaining evidence of the workman. After obtaining five/six adjournments for the same the workman representative made the following statement on 15th October, 1981.

“The workman is not interested in pursuing his demand. He has not turned up despite my repeated intimations to him. The demand leading to this reference may be treated as withdrawn.”

In view of his statement no further adjudication is required and I hold there is no dispute left pending between the parties when the workman is not interested in pursuing his demand leading to this reference and as such he is not entitled to any relief. The reference is answered and returned accordingly.

**BANWARI LAL DALAL,**

**Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana  
Rohtak.**

Dated the 31st October, 1981.

Endorsement No. 3940, dated the 2nd November, 1981.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

**BANWARI LAL DALAL,**

**Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.**

No. 9(1)-81-6Lab./13341.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and management of M/s Rajdhani Textile, Industrial Area, Sonapat.

**BEFORE SHRI BANWARI LAL DALAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
HARYANA, ROHTAK**

**Reference No. 38 of 1980**

*between*

**SHRI DHARAM PAL SINGH, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. RAJDHANI  
TEXTILE INDUSTRIAL AREA, SONEPAT**

*Present.—*

No one, for the workman.

Shri Kanwal Singh, for the management.

#### **AWARD**

This reference has been referred to this Court by the Hon'ble Governor,—vide his order No. ID/SPT/20-80/10509, dated 27th February, 1980 under section 10 (i)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri Dharam Pal Singh, workman and the management of M/s. Rajdhani Textile, Sonapat. The term of the reference was —

**Whether the termination of services of Shri Dharam Pal Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?**

On the receipt of the order of reference, notices as usual were sent to the parties. The parties put in their appearance, filed their respective pleadings and issues were framed on the basis of their pleadings and the management was asked to adduce their evidence. The management was proceeded against *ex parte* on 14th July, 1981 when no one was present on their behalf. The *ex parte* order was set aside on 21st September, 1981 subject to a cost of Rs 20 and the case was again adjourned for evidence of the management on 14th October, 1981. On this date of hearing no one was present on behalf of the workman and the management representative filed a settlement Ex. M-1,—vide which the workman was re-employed with effect from 13th October, 1981 and the workman withdrawn his demand notice leading to this reference. The settlement was duly signed by the workman and by the representative of the workman as witness.



In view of the settlement I hold that no dispute is left pending between the parties and the reference is answered and returned in terms of the settlement Ex. M-1.

Dated the 31st October, 1981.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 3948, dated the 2nd November, 1981.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

The 7th December, 1981

No. 9 (1)81-6 Lab./13538.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s S. J. Knitting and Finishing Mills, 13/7, Mathura Road, Faridabad.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI HARI SINGH KAUSHIK, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 158 of 1981

between

SHRI MIRAZU DIN, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S S. J. KNITTING AND FINISHING MILLS, 13/7, MATHURA ROAD, FARIDABAD

Shri G. S. Chaudhry, for the workman.  
Shri S. R. Grover, for the management.

#### AWARD

This reference No. 158 of 1981 has been referred to this Court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana,—vide his order No. ID/ FD/ 217-80/ 21112, dated 21st April, 1981 under section 10 (i) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri Mirazu Din, workman and the management of M/s S. J. Knitting and Finishing Mills, 13/7, Mathura Road, Faridabad. The term of the reference was:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Mirazu Dir was justified and in order If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the order of reference, notices were sent to the parties. The parties appeared and filed their pleadings. On the pleadings of the parties, the issues were framed on 26th August, 1981 on 18th October, 1981 the date fixed in the case the representative of the management made a statement in this court that the workman had settled his case with the management and also filed the copy of settlement which is Ex. M-1. According to this settlement the workman also received a sum of Rs. 1,825.25 in full and final settlement including the right of reinstatement or re-employment. He also filed this photo copy of voucher Ex. M-2. He further stated that there is no dispute between the parties. This statement was duly admitted by the representative of the workman. In view of the above statement of the parties, I give my award in terms of settlement Ex. M-1 and hold that there is no dispute between the parties. No order as to costs. So this award is in answer of this reference.

Dated 5th November, 1981.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana, Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 3157, dated 6th November, 1981.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner & Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, with the request that the receipt of the abovesaid award may please be acknowledged within week's time

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana, Faridabad.